Showing Politicians in Wrong-Light in Feature Films

@@3056-B. SHRI MAHENDRA PRASAD: Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the trend in feature films showing politicians in wrong-light indulging in anti-national and even anti-social activities;

(b) if so, whether any such firms have come to Government's adverse notice during the past three years and during the current year, if so, the details thereof; and

(c) what steps are being taken to curb this trend effectively?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF EDUCATION AND CULTURE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI L. P. SHAHI): (a) and (c) Yes, Sir. The matter regarding depiction in feature films of politicians in wrong light was, *inter-alia,* discussed at the 9th meeting of the Central Board of Film Certification held in Hyderabad in March 1987. The Members of the Examining/Revising Committees of the Board were advised to take notice of depiction of a personality if such a depiction was considered violative of guidelines for film certification issued by the Central Gvernment.

(b) Information is being collected and will be placed on the Floor of the Sabha as early as possible.

STATEMENT BY MINISTER—

Correcting the reply given in the Rajya Sabha on the 25th November, 1987 to Unstarred Question 1881, regarding Members of the visitors on the Selection Committee of Central Universities

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF EDUCATION AND CULTURE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI L. P. SHAHI): Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a statement (in English and Hindi) correcting the reply given in the Rajya Sabha on the 25th November, 1987, to Unstarred Question 1881; regarding Members of the Visitors on the Selection Committee of Central Universities. [Placed in Library. *See* No. LT-183]

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

Seventh Five Year Plan—1985—90—Mid-term Appraisal

THE MINISTER OF PLANNING AND THE MINISTER OF PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI P. SHIV SHANKER): Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy (in English and Hindi) of the 'Seventh Five Year Plan —1985—90 Mid-term Appraisal.' [Placed in Library. *See* No. LT—5732/88].

[**The Deputy Chairman** in the Chair]

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI (Maharashtra): Madam before Shri Gadhvi lays the papers on the Table of the House, I had given a notice on this. This is particular in regard to item No. 32, GSR no. 143(E) dated 1-3-88, incorporating some textual changes. The whole language is a language of camquoflage. What is really meant by incorporating some textual changes and increasing basic customs duties on 2 specified chemicals falling within Chapter 28'? The Minister should tell, what are the two chemicals, what are their names and what is the net effect coming out of that so that we could make some comments?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: It cannot be debated her. You can send not be debated her. You can send your objections to the Government. Mr. can do so, or you may lay the papers.

@@Previously Unstarred Question 3255 transferred from the 25th March, 1988.

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI: The point is, the notification that the Government is laying on the Table of the House is discriminatory to the public sector. What is the actual intention of the Government, has he himself read this notification? What is its damaging effect?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF EXPENDITURE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI B. K. GADHVI): The intention is quite bona fide, it is a tariff structure, excise duty structure. What its impact would be on private or public sector could not be gone through at this stage. (*Interruptions*).

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Kulkarni, you know the procedure. If you want any further information, you can have it from him, but not here. Please try to understand.

Yes, Mr. Minister, you may lay the papers.

I. Report and Accounts (1986-87) of the National Institute of Public Finance and Policy, New Delhi and related papers.

II. Notification of the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue) under the Central Excises and Salt Act, 1944.

SHRI B. K. GADHVI: Madam, I beg to lay on the Table—

I. A copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following papers:—

(i) Annual Report and Accounts of the National Institute of Public Finance and Policy, New Delhi, for the year 1986-87, together with the Auditors' Report on the Accounts.

(ii) Statement by Government accepting the above Report.

[Placed in Library. *See* No. LT-5753/88 for (i) and (ii)]

II. A copy (in English and Hindi) of the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue) Notification G.S.R. No. 109(E), dated the 1st March, 1988, rescinding the Central Excise (Ninth Amendment) Rules, 1987, under sub-section (2) of section 38 of the Central Excises and Salt Act, 1944. [Placed in Library. *See* No. LT-5709/88]

Notification of the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue) under the Customs Act, 1962, and related papers.

SHRI B. K. GADHVI: Madam, I also beg to lay on the Table a copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following Natification of the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue), under section 159 of the Customs Act, 1962, together with Explanatory Memorandum on the Notifications:—

(1) G.S.R. No. 112(E) dated 1-3-88 exempting from whole of basic customs duty in respect of ivory, ivory powder and waste.

(2) G.S.R. No. 113(E) dated 1st March, 1988 exempting from basic customs duty in excess of 45 per cent *ad valorem* in respect of specified drug intermediates.

(3) G.S.R. No. 114(E) dated 1st March, 1988 exempting from basic customs duty in excess of 25 per cent *ad valorem* in respect of 15 pesticides.

(4) G.S.R. No. 115(E) dated 1st March, 1988 exempting from basic customs duty in excess of 15 per cent *ad valorem* in respect of 6 pesticide intermediates.

(5) G.S.R. No. 116(E) dated 1st March, 1988 exempting from whole of basic customs duty on raw wool imported by Registered Apex Handloom Coop. Society etc.

(6) G.S.R. No. 117(E) dated 1st March, 1988 exempting from basic customs duty in excess of 40 per cent *ad valorem* on acetate filament yarn.